# WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

&

The Hon'ble Smt. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member (J) The Hon'ble P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

#### Case No OA-116 of 2013

	Ashim Kumar Halder -Vs- The State of West Bengal & Others.	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	For the Applicant: Mr. B. R. Neogi,	
22	Mr. A.K. Niyogi,	
09.07.2019	Advocates.	
	For the Respondent : Mr. A.L. Basu,	
	Advocate.	
	The instant application has been filed praying for	
	following relief:	
	"(a) An order quashing and setting aside	
	the Revisional/Appellate Order passed on	
	28.9.12 and for a further direction to	
	reinstate the applicant in service and to	
	pay all arrears of salaries and	
	allowances;	
	(b) Issuance of any other order or orders	
	and/or direction as this Hon'ble Tribunal	
	may deem fit and proper."	
	As per the applicant, he was charge sheeted for some	
	alleged misconduct on 26.09.2011. However, the applicant	
	had participated the disciplinary proceedings and final order	
	has been passed by the Disciplinary Authority on	
	26.06.2012 by way of withholding annual increment for a	
	period of three consecutive years but without cumulative	
	effect. Being aggrieved with, the applicant preferred the	

Ashim Kumar Halder

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

.....

Case No. <u>OA-116 of 2013</u>

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1	appeal before the Deputy Inspector General of police,	3
	Railways on 23.07.2012 i.e. within 37 days as per Rules.	
	However, instead of passing any order as an Appellate	
	Authority, the Appellate Authority issued show-cause dated	
	03.08.2012 by way of invoking Revisional power and had	
	passed the Revisional/Appellate order on 28.09.2019 by way	
	of imposing punishment of dismissal from service while the	
	appeal is pending before him. Being aggrieved with, the	
	applicant has filed the instant application as he has no scope	
	for filing any revision petition against the said order. As per	
	the applicant, under Regulations 883 and 884 of P.R.B.,	
	1943, when the appeal is pending before the Appellate	
	authority, he cannot invoke the power of Revisional	
	Authority without passing any order on appeal which is	
	totally contrary to the stipulated Rules as prescribed in the	
	statute. Therefore, he has prayed for quashing of the	
	impugned Appellate/Revisional order.	
	The respondents have filed their reply. As per the	
	respondents, the Appellate Authority i.e. D.I.G., Railways	
	had granted opportunity to the charge officer and after	
	carefully examining the documents, had only enhanced the	
	punishment by removing him from service. Therefore,	
	according to the counsel for the respondents, the application	

ONDER SHEET

Form No. ......

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

**Ashim Kumar Halder** 

Case No. <u>OA-116</u>	<u>of 2013</u>	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	has no merit.	
	The amplicant has filed his union den densing and	
	The applicant has filed his rejoinder denying and	
	disputing the contentions of the respondents.	
	Heard both the parties and perused the records as well	
	as the Rules.	
	D 11' 002 1004 1' 11 CH	
	Regulations 883 and 884 stipulates as follows:	
	" <u>883</u> . Period of appeal and procedure. [§	
	7, Act V, 1861, read with §243 of the	
	Government of India Act, 1935] -	
	Petitions of appeal or for revision shall be	
	presented to the officer against whose order the	
	appeal is preferred, within 37 days of the date	
	of receipt of the order by the petitioner. Every	
	petition of appeal or for revision shall be	
	accompanied by certified copies of the charges	
	made, of the written statement of the defence,	
	if any, and of the order appealed against. Such	
	officer when transmitting such petition to the	
	Appellate Authority shall send the	
	proceedings, service book or role and	
	confidential report book of the appellant	

Form No.	
	•

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

**Ashim Kumar Halder** 

#### Case No. OA-116 of 2013

Case No. <u>OA-116 or</u>	<u>f 2013</u>	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	together with a covering letter. Only relevant	3
	papers shall be sent and they shall be properly	
	flagged for reference.	
	884. Right to call for records. [§ 7, Act v,	
	1861, read with § 243 of the Government	
	of India Act, 1935] - The Inspector General	
	or the Deputy Inspector-General may call for	
	the proceedings of any case, even where no	
	appeal lies, and pass such orders as may seem	
	fit provided that no order under this	
	regulation shall be made to the prejudice of	
	any person unless he has had an opportunity	
	of showing cause against the proposed order.	
	If he so desires he shall be granted a personal	
	hearing and this fact should be recorded in the	
	proceedings."	
	From the perusal of the afore-mentioned Regulations,	
	it is clear that the power of revision can only be exercised	
	, ,	
	when the appeal preferred by a party has to come to an end	
	and the Appellate Authority has passed an order. So long	
	the time for preferring an appeal does not expire, the	
	Revisional power cannot be exercised because applicant	
	would be deprived of his statutory right to prefer an appeal	

Ashim Kumar Halder

• • •	••	•••	•••	•	••	 		 •	٠.		•	•	••	•		•	•		•	••	• •	•	 •	••	•		•		 	•	٠.	

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA-116 of 2013

Form No.

ı	Case No. <u>OA-116 o</u>		
	Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature
	Date of order. 1	2	of parties when necessary
	<u> </u>	in the instant case. The Superintendent of Police being the	3
		disciplinary authority against the said order appeal lies	
		before the D.I.G. A revision may be made by the Inspector	
		General thereafter waiting for the statutory period of appeal.	
		Even if D.I.G. can invoke Revisional power, if no appeal	
		preferred before him within statutory period.	
		But in the instant case, D.I.G. had invoked the power	
		of Revisional Authority being Appellate Authority only and	
		that too when the appeal is pending before him. It is	
		observed that the purpose of exercise of power in revision by	
		D.I.G. before the expiry of the period to prefer an appeal or	
		when the appeal is pending before him will not only amount	
		to deprivation of the statutory right to prefer an appeal but	
		also deprivation of opportunity to get the Appellate order	
		revised by the Inspector General of Police because once a	
		Revisional power is exercised by the Deputy Inspector	
		General, there cannot be any further revision of the	
		Revisional order.	
		In view of the above, we are of the considered view	
		that there is clear violation of provisions stipulated as per	
		the Police Regulations Act, 1985. Therefore, we quash and	
		set aside the impugned order dated 28.9.12 and remand back	

Ashim Kumar Halder

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessar
1		3
	to the Appellate Authority to consider the case afresh as per	
	the Rules as well as observation made by the Tribunal, by a	
	reasoned and speaking order after granting him a personal	
	hearing within a period of eight weeks from the date of	
	receipt of this order.	
	Accordingly, the O.A. is allowed with the above	
	observations and directions with no order as to cost.	
	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN)	
	MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)	
A.K.P.		